	ECK CLASSIFICATION	ON TOP AND	BOTTOM	
UNCLASSIFIEI	CONFID		SECRE	
OFFI	CIAL ROUTIN	IG SLIP	Executive Re	
			76-184	
TO NAME AN	ID ADDRESS	DATE	INITIA	
1 DD/Fer	sonnel			
2 attn:				
3				
4				
5				
6				
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREP	PREPARE REPLY	
APPROYAL	DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT	FILE	RETU		
			ATURE	
Remarks: Ben, Here a that I mention that civil se free. This or serious mis when the fi looking in	med which wice gens ticle will	clearly authen	y state a	
serious mis	conception	ata:	time	
When the following in	to the que	estimo	of publi	
pensed con Director of the	Coul Service Correcting The	commes	oin to	
write New Levert	correcting The	latters ,	nesenform	
FOLD H	ERE TO RETURN T	O SENDER	V	
			1	
FROM: NAME,	ADDRESS AND BUONE	NO -	DATE	

STATINTL

STATINTL

EXE-MINI THE DOCK

Poor No More

Time was, not long ago, when pensioners from the Federal government wereamong the most threadbare of the nation's senior citizens. But in 1969 their pensions were tied to the cost of living, and these days a senior civil servant can retire at 55 with a tax-free income of as much as \$20,000 a year. And the benefits are rising so fast that a number of critics, including President Ford, have warned that it is time to call a halt.

In fiscal 1975, retired civil-service employees received \$7.2 billion in benefits, up from just \$1.4 billion ten years

ago. And by 1980, the payments are expected to soar 106 per cent to \$14.8 billion, while contributions to the fund will rise by only 66 per cent. If the trend continues, it seems inevitable that the

government's retirement fund eventually will go broke, portending higher taxes,

more deficit spending—or both.
Under the cost-of-living escalator clause written into Federal civilian and military pension programs six years ago, pensions rise each time the consumer price index rises 3 per cent and holds at the new level for three months. However, the benefit increase is not 3 per cent, but 4 per cent. The difference, the 1 per cent "kicker," was designed to make up for purchasing power lost during the qualifying period. But the cumulative effect has been substantial. Since the escaadjustments in Federal pensions have raised the average payout more than 60 per cent. This means that a civil servant who retired in 1969 with top benefits-56 per cent of pay—is making nearly as much retired as he was when he was

working, and paying no taxes on the total.

Military pensions have grown at a similar pace. The government is expected to pay out \$8.4 billion in benefits to retired service personnel in fiscal 1977, up from \$6.3 billion in 1975. The Pentagon now figures that the average ex-soldier will receive twice as much pay in retirement as he got in all the years he was on active duty.

Cost: Democratic Sen. Thomas Eagleton of Missouri, a member of the subcommittee examining the problem, calculates that if the current formula is maintained, the costs of all Federal pension programs will quadruple in 25 years. "Unless the gross national product can be counted on to grow commensurately, very serious problems will develop," he says. "Federal taxes may have to be increased. That could put a heavy drain on the private economy. Alternately, the government might decide to fund these programs by merely printing money. And that cost in terms of inflation would be great.

Whatever the future, the present makes the Federal pensioner the envy of his counterparts from private industry. What's more, many experts who take

NEWSWEEK - 22 March 1976

Business Section, page 68.69

early retirement at 55 can find lucrative jobs for themselves in industry. One retired Commerce Department analyst, for instance, is now drawing an \$18,000 government pension while earning \$25,000 annually from a private firm. "I've got more money now than I ever had in my life," he says happily. "I figure on working at least until I'm 62. Then I'll have social security as well as a small pension from my company.

Not all pensioners are comfortable about their good fortune. "The Federal pension is going up so fast it is becoming

scandalous," says former Republican Rep. Hastings Keith of Massachusetts. Keith, 60, is now drawing more than \$33,000 a year in pensions; he served 23 years in the Army Reserve as well as fourteen years in the House of Representatives. He is a partner in an insurance agency, and he has been running something of a one-man lobby to reduce the largesse. He has a powerful ally in President Ford, who has asked Congress to eliminate the 1 per cent kicker from the pension escalator. There is little chance that the lawmakers will even consider such a measure in an election year and thereby alienate 4.3 million Federal employees

Approved For Release 2002/05/07: GLA-RDP 79 M 1002 0 1002 gress time to ponder the issue before a full-blown pension crisis develops.